

Regular

January 21

2010

Ontario City Council met in regular session on January 21, 2010, in the City Offices, with Council President Daniel Zeiter presiding. The meeting opened at 7:00 p.m. with the Pledge of Allegiance.

The following persons were in attendance when roll was called: Council members Paul Williams, Larry Arnold, Charlotte Hellinger, Mark Weidemyre, and Barry Lewis, Mr. Zeiter, Mayor Larry Collins, Law Director Allan Sowash, and Clerk of Council Cathy VanAuker. Auditor Jane Hammond, Treasurer Linda Stoodt and a number of interested citizens were present in the audience.

Mr. Williams made a motion to excuse Mr. Blackwell and Mr. Cinadr from this evening's meeting. Mrs. Hellinger seconded the motion. At roll call, five members voted Aye, zero Nay, and the motion passed.

The minutes of the regular Council meeting of January 7, 2010 were presented for approval. Mr. Williams made a motion to approve the minutes and Mr. Lewis seconded the motion. At roll call, five members voted Aye, zero Nay, and the motion to approve the minutes passed.

PUBLIC COMMENTARY

Hearing no one who wished to speak, Mr. Zeiter closed the Public Commentary portion of the meeting.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Mr. Williams scheduled a Safety Committee of the Whole meeting on January 26, 2010, at 4:30 p.m.

Mr. Arnold announced a Parks Committee meeting for January 26, 2010, at 5:30 p.m.

Mrs. Hellinger reported on the Utilities Committee meeting held January 13, 2010, where they discussed the lift station on Home Road. Committee members gained new information which also created more questions that will be researched. The next Utilities Committee of the Whole meeting will be held on February 4, 2010, at 5:30 p.m.

Mr. Weidemyre reported on the Finance Committee meeting that was held this evening prior to Council. Investment representative Mr. Yacobozzi of United Capital Investments reviewed the City's portfolio investments which are earning almost 3%.

Mr. Weidemyre scheduled a Finance Committee of the Whole meeting on February 2, 2010, at 4:00 p.m. to discuss the budget.

Mr. Lewis had no report this evening.

MAYOR'S REPORT

Mayor Collins began his report by saying he was glad Mrs. Hellinger was present after a very serious fall last week, to remember Mr. Blackwell who is still in the hospital and Mr. Cinadr who is at home ill.

Mayor Collins then reported on several items.

- Authorization was given on January 11th to three bow hunters for one month on vacant land off Beer Road and Lewis Road.
- The Board of Control approved an \$8,397.53 payment to Mansfield Municipal Court for Mayor's Court expenses for 2008. The City was given a 50% credit which is included in the fee. This is a new expenditure to the city.

Regular

January 21

2010

- The Permanent Budget is in the final process and will be ready for Council at the next meeting on February 4, 2010.
- The last day of production at General Motors will be February 5, 2010.
- Mayor Collins attended sixteen meetings last week and twelve meetings are scheduled for this week.
- There were two great banquets last week. The Police Awards Banquet and the Appreciation Banquet for Employees and Spouse, 123 attended.
- The January State of the City will be published in the January 27th edition of the Tribune Courier.
- Mayor Collins and Council President Zeiter will be attending Mayor's Court Training January 28th and 29th in Columbus.
- The Census Bureau will be at the Longview Center on Longview Avenue on February 9, 2010, at 11:00 a.m. to share information about the upcoming census.
- The National Center for Disease Control has chosen Richland County to be part of a National Study on Disease. They will be housed at the fairgrounds and will be here three to four months. They will survey 1,000 homes and choose 350 for case study. Dates are not yet available.

SERVICE-SAFETY DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Heston provided the following report to Council:

- Bids for St. Rt. 309 came in lower than expected. The original estimate was \$3.8 million with the lowest bid submitted at \$3.4 million for a savings of \$350,000. The City's share was originally estimated at \$800,000 but due to areas that are the responsibility of the City, ODOT has increased that amount by \$5,000. ODOT will not release the bid sheets until the contract is awarded. Once the bid sheets are released they will enter into discussions as to why we are not sharing the savings. There is a 10% contingency obligation of \$80,000 to cover project overages. Mr. Heston is currently looking at ways to non-perform some of the items that are 100% our cost in order to reduce the total cost to the City.
- The Street Department is repairing potholes, fixing signs and repairing equipment. All of the trucks are up and running. The salt supply is good. Snow and ice removal this year has utilized 2,223 gallons of diesel fuel, 1,500 tons of salt and 218 hours of overtime for a total cost of \$106,268.50. Illuminated stop signs have been installed at the dangerous intersection of Lewis Road and W. 4th Street.
- The Water and Sewer Departments have reported fewer problems this year compared to last year. There have only been three water main breaks this year and no sewer overflows.
- The Home Road Lift Station continues to be a priority and is being discussed on a daily basis. They should be able to come to a consensus at the February 2nd meeting to move forward with the project.
- The additional expenses to the Park Avenue project are for going deeper on the shoulder work to make it sturdier for a longer amount of time. However, instead of 8" the Engineer thought it would be more cost effective to go 4". It is cheaper to pay for patch for several years instead of the original investment of digging deeper. There is also joint repair that must be done but they may not do the joint repair on the berms.
- Illuminated stop signs will be installed at the most dangerous intersections first.
- Today was the deadline to commit to the payment for the Park Avenue West paving but they weren't able to learn why the City's share was increased. Mr. Heston made the commitment for payment and was not given a start date for the project.

Mayor Collins said he received a letter from a Toledo, Ohio resident that included a check for \$300. This was to repay the City for the two stop signs he stole several years ago and now felt it was necessary to make restitution. He added that if the cost is greater than the amount he included in his check to let him know so he can pay the difference.

Regular

January 21

2010

LAW DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Sowash had no report this evening.

Mr. Weidemyre said he was contacted by residents concerning the new adult store that recently located in Mansfield. They are concerned that type of business could locate in Ontario. Mr. Weidemyre asked the Law Director to review our Industrial Zoning so that wouldn't happen.

MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS

Mr. Zeiter said that Council members met for three hours on Saturday morning to discuss what is going on today and what they would like to do moving forward. Each Committee was reviewed along with a variety of topics pertaining to each Committee. They will probably meet again in a month to discuss the areas they decided to act upon as a result of the workshop.

PUBLIC HEARING

At 7:19 p.m., Mr. Zeiter opened the public hearing on rezoning three parcels on the north side of Park Avenue West from R-1 Low Density Residential to OS Office Service District.

Mike Meyer, 2237 Park Avenue West, was first to approach Council to say: I am just a little ways down the road from where the proposed zoning is. My biggest concern with this is not so much the intent behind the request for the rezone. From what I understand, the main individual just wants to hire another person for additional help. My concern is what that opens that area up to. I know I hear an argument that we want to tie up loose ends from one end to the other but there's a nice residential area on my side of the road and we would like it to stay that way. I'm looking at a paper that came out of the Zoning Inspector's book, page 131, and there is quite a lengthy list of types of businesses that could be put in under that type of zoning. My concern is, when the zoning is changed, we're stuck with that forever. Think about this stuff. I live there and I'm going to have to put up with whatever goes in there and those other properties permanently. Where I live at right now, I've been there for 19 ½ years. I'm looking at, in the near future, tearing that house down and building a new one there. I'm concerned with other business going in there, what is that going to do to the property values around me. I'd ask you to be patient and listen to what everybody has to say. Do what the people want, not just what you guys think we need. You guys work for us and we don't always want our community to look like what you guys think it should look like. Thank you.

Jeffrey Heck, 1 Marion Avenue, Suite 104, Mansfield, was next to stand before Council to say: I am an attorney-at-law in Mansfield and I represent the three property owners who have requested the rezone. That would include, Gary and Rebecca Thomas, Dave and Cindy Hoover, and Keith and Mary Beth Wright. I will say up front in dealing with one of the issues that was raised by Mr. Meyer, just for the record, Gary and Rebecca Thomas have lived in that location, at that site, since about 1993. They, too, are long term residents of the area and I believe the Wrights' have lived there even longer than that. The request for rezone as was set forth in the application that was filed by these property owners deals with four parcels of property located on the north side of Park Avenue West. Specifically, both parcels are sort of an island of themselves as they sit here on the north side. One of the things that I think would be helpful perhaps for Council and the members here tonight is to see the history of this area. Many of you have much more history with the City of Ontario than I do and are going to remember some of these things. I think it is helpful to put the request for rezone in context appropriately. I will tell you before I say that, last month your Planning Commission voted unanimously to approve this rezone and submitted it at that time with a recommendation to this Council that it also do so. I have a packet of information to distribute.

Regular

January 21

2010

Mr. Heck distributed a packet of information and began by reviewing the maps. First of all, the single sheet is actually a pretty good aerial depiction of the properties that we are talking about. The properties for rezone are 2280, 2294, and 2356 Park Avenue West. Going from east to west on this map it's the green parcels that are outlined. The first one is where a single family residence currently sits and it abuts the Wal-Mart hill which is the buffer area that was created at the time Wal-Mart expanded after 2004. The next parcel is the Thomas parcel and a single family home is on that parcel. The next parcel to the west, the upside down L-shape is a parcel that belongs to the Wrights'. It is actually two parcels but is vacant land, a field that goes back some distance and then to the west in addition to some distance.

I would like to give you some history of this area and the best way to describe the history of how things developed the way they are with respect to these particular properties is to take the packet of maps that I gave you. (At this time Mr. Heck reviewed six maps that ranged from the Ad Hoc Committee in June 2000 through October 2001. The Planning Commission at that time decided these properties should be OS and the Hoover property B.) There were nine parcels carved back out to remain R-1 which included these four parcels. In 2004, during the Wal-Mart rezone, a map was presented showing these four parcels R-1 and the properties to the east of these at B. Immediately to the east of these parcels is B and immediately to the north of the two eastern most parcels is B and General Industry behind and to the north, OS exits surrounding this property along Park Avenue West to the west of these parcels and along the street. What these property owners would like to do is bring their properties in conformance with the surrounding properties and not make a change that is anyway unreasonable to the overall zoning plan to the City of Ontario. In fact, the reasonableness that we think exists here, because of the surrounding area and the fact from Lexington-Springmill Road all the way to 314 on the north side of Park Avenue West throughout the City of Ontario, the only R-1 parcels that remain on that north side of the street are these four parcels and the Ontario cemetery on out Park Avenue West. Everything else is OS, B, or GI. On that side of the road there is a significant difference between these parcels and what the surrounding area is. In terms of the effect on other surrounding property, there are two parcels that directly abut across Park Avenue West, two residential parcels that directly abut these parcels, there is just a corner of each of them, but they are across the street from other OS to the west and the property to the east is across the street from other Business property. So again, it is not an unreasonable request that these parcels be rezoned accordingly.

As the prior speaker indicated there is most definitely an interest, by at least one of these property owners, to open a small office and frankly hire one employee to be permitted to operate a small office practice in a way that would allow her to simply do the business that she has been doing. But, she has had to comply with some very strict regulations and has complied with those regulations with it as a R-1 property.

The last thing I would like to point out to the Council is that there is a concern raised, and we've heard it discussed, but maybe I'm anticipating something someone isn't going to say, but there was a concern raised in the course of the discussion in this matter, that if those parcels were changed on the north side that there was a deal that the outlots of the Wal-Mart property could then be subject to development. That is not the case. The agreement that I have given you, which is of record with the Richland County Recorder's Office, makes it quite clear that the parcels of the Wal-Mart outlots on the north side, their ability to be developed further is conditional upon change of zoning on the south side and not these parcels on the north side. That's quite clearly provided in paragraph one of the agreement that I provided to you for your information and for your edification. It is an important issue for these property owners. We simply ask that you bring these parcels in line with the surrounding property and we will request that you approve, at the appropriate time, and adopt the recommendation of your Planning Commission, to rezone these properties from R-1 to OS. Thank you.

Mr. Zeiter explained the reason he permitted Mr. Heck to speak longer was to provide information to the members who were not here in 2001. Hopefully, in the future, these Public Hearings can take place during Planning Commission so the public's concerns are heard and brought to the attention of the Planning Commission so nothing is overlooked.

Regular

January 21

2010

Next to address Council was Dan Burwell, 169 Sloboda Avenue, to say: We're on the south side of Park Avenue West and this is like *déjà vu* all over again. The last time I came to Council it was over a similar rezone of Wal-Mart. I think Mark was there when we were going over this with Wal-Mart and I think Mr. Knapp has a nice presentation from a letter to Council about that. I'm just going to say a couple of things. I hate to oppose what Keith and Mary Beth Wright want to do with their property because I'm a big fan of theirs, but we are very much opposed to it. Number one: If we have rejected zoning to Office on the south side I have no idea why it's fair to zone the north side Office. If that has happened here, you guys will know with your history, on the south side of Park Avenue West we must be consistent, obviously, with our zoning process. Number two: The last thing I'm going to speak on is the accidents that are occurring. They're going 50 mph down PAW, we've had two totals on Clare Avenue, including my daughter, she's stopped turning left. People use the Wright's lawn as their passing lane on the right going 50 mph as we're turning left into Clare. We've had at least two totals there during the last five years. The more business, the more office, the more whatever you put in there, the more traffic we get and the more dangerous this gets. I just hope you take that into consideration. Thank you.

Chris Knapp, 20 Geary Road, was next to stand before Council to say: I would like to thank Council and the Mayor for allowing us to speak. As President Zeiter said, if we were able to speak at the Planning Commission maybe we could have aired some of this out previously so we do appreciate letting us speak to Council on this. I have a couple of issues. Obviously with Geary Road being a dead end road going right into Park Avenue is a major concern. We already have parking issues on the road trying to get out there and trying to turn onto Park Avenue. We have no other access out other than onto Park Avenue. As Mr. Burwell spoke, that is a concern with traffic trying to get out and also trying to turn left onto our road as we're doing that. As was previously stated, we went through this with the Wal-Mart issue. Those of you who were on Council, again, I thank you. And, some of the members here who are in the audience, I thank them also publically, for helping us deal with the zoning that went on with the Wal-Mart issue because that was a great concern. With that, in the agreement, that was already brought up, it stated that, "Should the South Side Parcels be used/zoned/developed in any manner other than single family residential housing (by the way of example only, commercial, industrial, multi-family, office, etc...), then such restriction contained herein shall immediately cease and the terms of this document shall automatically terminate." Our concern also is that Wal-Mart built that green belt for us and put the trees there. I know speaking at Council when that happened there was a big discussion if anything else went on Park Avenue we would make sure a green belt would be established. I hope that Council, as stated before by a previous gentlemen, that this is permanent. If you make this change that is permanent, we as residents will have to live with.

In closing, I would like to share a little story about Christmas morning. As some of you know, I have two children, one is a nine year old. Our rule is that you can't get up until daylight and Christmas morning, this is a true story, at 5:15 my little one comes and says, "Daddy, Daddy, it's Christmas, wake up." I look and said, "check outside, is it daylight?" - knowing that it was 5:15 in the morning. She opens the blinds, looks out, and says, "I see over the hill, it's bright, it's daylight." That's something as a resident that I have to deal with every day, every night. Again, if we continue to change residential into something else, as a resident, I'm planning on living there. I've lived there since 1991, I've rebuilt my house, and I plan on living there as long as I can. Thank you.

Next to approach Council was Bob Phillips, 675 Shelby Ontario Road, to say: Thank you Mr. President and Council for letting me speak. I am a veteran and a naturalist at heart. I'm a master gardener through Ohio State, certified as the emerald ash borer specialist for all the trees in Richland County. I have a pretty good understanding of nature and what goes on. I was a resident to the east of the first property that is there now when Wal-Mart went in and I had to leave at that point because of the expansion. Behind this property to the north, which no one has spoken of, is wetlands. There are many things back there and I brought my children up stomping around back there. Wal-Mart owns the property and it abuts with all of these properties. There are many things back in the wetlands that Wal-Mart was forced to make all of their water drain away from that, towards Lexington-Springmill. They have a big retention

Regular

January 21

2010

pond in the front. Part of that conversation, which I was involved with, was to make sure if there was a huge storm, or anything, that the water would not be forced to back up into the wetlands that are back there. They had to do many things to make sure that was not polluted or contaminated in any way. Some of the things that are back there are a palliated woodpecker, wood ducks, and porcupines.

Mr. Phillips circulated a picture of a salamander that comes into this property to live in the tidal pools during the first rain in the spring. Gorman Nature Center was certified to protect that property and I made sure that happened because I didn't want to see the wetlands ruined. They still are responsible to see that the property behind where I lived and abuts with the Wal-Mart property now does not get ruined. There are ghost shrimp (little native fresh water shrimp) in the water back there and they are nowhere else in the county that I am aware of. Being a master gardener through Ohio State for ten years, I still work with the children a lot. We care about that property. I don't know how these people will stop pollution from going back there. I know how Wal-Mart did it, they had money and they were able to build all of those things.

The first speaker, Mr. Meyer, spoke of some of the things that could happen once this is zoned OS. The building inspector gave us a page of some of the things that could go in there such as: credit union, medical facilities, churches, savings and loans, engineer's offices and twenty more things. I sympathize with Mr. Knapp, Mr. Burwell, and Mr. Meyer if one of these things goes in there. If the ex-law director decides to have a secretary and then changes her mind at some point and opens a bigger office someplace else who knows what could go in there. It could be any of those things that could go in there and then we're in trouble with the traffic that is going to go through there. I drive past there every day and I'm scared of all of the times you have to stop quickly. I don't think people from out of town are going to know when they come over that hill to stop quickly. There are a lot of things for Council to consider, do you need more red lights, do you need more signage, do you need to widen the road, and is it dangerous to get past people? Thank you very much for your time.

Fred Kohler, 1482 Lex-Springmill Road, was next to approach Council to say: Part of the reason I want to speak is because I was on that Ad Hoc Committee and I think there may be a little confusion, maybe not, on all of those maps that you have. Obviously, as we went along as an Ad Hoc Committee different maps would be presented and different ideas and different schemes. I don't ever recall that all of us said, this is the right thing to do. However, I will say as more information became available to us, as more input from the community came, some of those maps that appeared to change zoning, it became quite evident that we should not change those. I think he presented three or four maps that showed that as OS. However, in the final picture when we had all of the information and we sat down and had spirited discussions, it became clear to us that this area should remain Residential and that is why the map that you have today exists.

The other thing I would like to mention is that whole area of Park Avenue West has been an area where the residents have had to consider rezones starting with Hawkins. I know when Hawkins went in there was quite a bit of concern and the residents lost that argument. Of course, Hawkins went in, hopefully for the betterment of Ontario, and for awhile it was. It was a nice grocery store and I'm sure many of you shopped there. However, over time, you know what we have. We have an empty spot. We have no clue what might go in there. I would urge you to take a lot of time to think about as we rezone areas into residential areas. Is it in the best interest of all of the community and not just a landowner here or there? I think you need to look at the big picture, you need to be very careful about history, and you need to really consider, is this in the best interest of all of Ontario? Thank you very much.

Jill Waite, 25 Geary Road, approached Council to say: I don't have really nice maps for you or color coded anything, but here's what I do have. I have 47 years of living on Geary Road. I was raised at 26 Geary Road, moved in when I was six months old, and fifteen years ago I bought 25 Geary Road. There is a reason I bought my house on the same street on which I grew up, because that's a really nice neighborhood. I'm going to get emotional on this. Chris tells a story about his children. I love those kids, I absolutely love them. I want to grow old in that house. My mom still owns the house across the street. I won't let her ever sell that house. I tell her I'm

Regular

January 21

2010

going to inherit it anyway, so she might as well just wait. I don't know if you received my email but I emailed you last week. I am a licensed counselor and I looked for an office space to open my counseling practice. One of the things that crossed my mind and I talked over with my mom, was having it in my house. The only reason I never pursued that was because my respect and love for the people in my neighborhood was greater than my desire to have an office in my house, so I went to look for other places. I don't know how much people's voices count for anything when there is a decision of this magnitude but I would have felt remiss if I didn't stand up and say, on behalf of my mom who could not be here tonight, who lives at 26 and myself at 25, we are both not in favor of this. Thank you.

Mr. Zeiter said Council received Ms. Waite's email and also received an email from the Schnug's who live on Clare Road.

Mr. Heck asked to speak a second time: First, with respect to any kind of a wetlands issue. I am sure Council is aware because I am sure this is not the only wetlands area within the City of Ontario. There are very, very stringent and very, very strict rules and regulations by the Federal Government, by the State Government, that would require anything that would be done on any adjoining property and to any wetlands to be approved and come into effect at that time. There is no question that there's law to affect that and I'm sure all of the property owners are well aware and recognize there are issues that simply might exist. Secondly, with respect to traffic. I'm not unmindful of the issue of traffic but you can certainly check with your Law Director on this but I think it is very important that by case law in the State of Ohio, concerns and issues concerning traffic are simply not appropriate in determining requests for rezoning under these kinds of circumstances. I will tell a story. One of the things that is able to be done for example, Mrs. Thomas, if she's permitted to have this as an OS, she can put up a small sign that would identify her place as a law office. She's told me several stories of people, because they don't see it, they don't know where it is, they are coming down PAW, they go by, they stop in the middle of the street, they back up because they've missed it and then they pull into her driveway. Obviously, that's not a good traffic situation either, but the fact of the matter is, under Ohio law, and I'm not unmindful that concerns have been raised, but the fact of the matter is that it is not an appropriate thing to go with. The condition of the area, ladies and gentlemen, Wal-Mart is there. It's bright and it's been there, and it is what it is. We're not asking for anything like that and that's not what this is about. We think frankly it would be unreasonable for the Council to not deny it under Ohio law, but we certainly request that the Council consider strongly and grant this zoning request. Thank you.

Mr. Zeiter explained there are only five Council members present this evening and so they won't be able to vote on any legislation this evening. This legislation will be read again at the next meeting on February 4, 2010 and everyone is welcome to come back. If they don't vote that night it will be read for the third and final time and a definite vote will take place on February 18, 2010.

When Mr. Weidemyre asked why a variance wasn't requested for this property, Mr. Heck said he didn't know. Mrs. Thomas has had an office in that space since about 1998. She has been operating without a secretary in that office because it would violate the zoning code. She recognized that, obviously, with her former position with regard to this City. I think she was willing to live with the situation as it existed. At various points and time they thought they were going to be rezoned, it hasn't happened, and now it seems appropriate to her that they move forward.

Zoning Inspector Dallas Strickler responded: in the Code, unless it is a permitted use or a conditionally permitted use, the only way you can have that use on the property is through the rezone. Variances are not permitted.

Mr. Lewis asked how the tax office on Lex-Springmill, south of Princeton, is allowed to be in a home office with a variance. Mr. Strickler said they must have a home occupation which is what Mrs. Thomas has now. It is a conditionally permitted use in a Residential District. They had to go through Planning Commission and request a home occupation for that site.

Regular

January 21

2010

At 8:02 p.m., the Public Hearing was closed.

CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS

ORDINANCE NO. 10-02 – (SECOND READING) AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE 09-57 KNOWN AS THE TEMPORARY APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE FOR 2010 BY TRANSFERRING THE SUM OF \$32,000 WITHIN THE GENERAL FUND.

Mr. Williams read Ordinance No. 10-02 for the second time, by title only.

RESOLUTION NO. 10-01 – A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CHIEF OF POLICE RODNEY SMITH TO RELEASE TO THE RICHLAND COUNTY SHERIFF’S OFFICE 17 PORTABLE HANDHELD RADIOS NO LONGER NEEDED BY THIS CITY.

Mr. Arnold read Resolution No. 10-01 for the first time, by title only.

ORDINANCE NO. 10-03 – AN ORDINANCE REZONING THREE PARCELS ON THE NORTH SIDE OF PARK AVENUE WEST FROM R-1 LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL TO OS OFFICE SERVICE DISTRICT.

Mrs. Hellinger read Ordinance No. 10-03 for the first time, by title only.

ORDINANCE NO. 10-04 – AN ORDINANCE DESIGNATING FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS & LOAN ASSOCIATION OF GALION, OHIO, AS A PUBLIC DEPOSITORY OF THE ACTIVE AND INTERIM FUNDS OF THIS CITY FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING JANUARY, 2010 AND ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

Mr. Weidemyre read Ordinance No. 10-04 for the first time, by title only.

ORDINANCE NO. 10-05 – AN ORDINANCE DESIGNATING HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK AS A PUBLIC DEPOSITORY OF THE ACTIVE AND INTERIM FUNDS OF THIS CITY FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING JANUARY 1, 2010 AND ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

Mr. Lewis read Ordinance No. 10-05 for the first time, by title only.

ORDINANCE NO. 10-06 – AN ORDINANCE DESIGNATING FIRST MERIT BANK AS A PUBLIC DEPOSITORY OF THE ACTIVE AND INTERIM FUNDS OF THIS CITY FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING JANUARY 1, 2010 AND ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

Mr. Williams read Ordinance No. 10-06 for the first time, by title only.

Regular

January 21

2010

ORDINANCE NO. 10-07 – AN ORDINANCE DESIGNATING RICHLAND BANK DIVISION OF THE PARK NATIONAL BANK AS A PUBLIC DEPOSITORY OF THE ACTIVE AND INTERIM FUNDS OF THIS CITY FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING JANUARY 1, 2010 AND ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

Mr. Arnold read Ordinance No. 10-07 for the first time, by title only.

At 8:27 p.m., there being no further business to come before the meeting, the same was adjourned upon a motion by Mr. Williams and a second by Mr. Weidemyre. At roll call, five members voted Aye, zero Nay, and the motion to adjourn passed.

President of Council

Clerk of Council